# 4<sup>th</sup> International Workshop on Space Debris Re-entry LATEST IMPROVEMENTS ON THE CNES SPACECRAFT-ORIENTED TOOL: PAMPERO

#### J. ANNALORO<sup>1\*</sup>, G. PRIGENT<sup>1</sup>, S. GALERA<sup>2</sup>, C. THIEBAUT<sup>3</sup>, P. OMALY<sup>1</sup>

\*julien.annaloro@cnes.fr



<sup>1</sup>CNES, Toulouse, FRANCE <sup>2</sup>ALTRAN SO, Toulouse, FRANCE <sup>3</sup>CNES, Paris, FRANCE

# CONTEXT



- For every mission launched / operated from French territory
- In case of launch and satellite reentries
- The maximum allowable probability to have at least one victim
  - $> 10^{-4}$  for uncontrolled and controlled reentries
- CNES is in charge of ensuring the right application of the law
- **CNES** develops multidisciplinary tools to predict the casualty area of debris
  - DEBRISK
  - PAMPERO





#### **CNES** ATMOSPHERIC REENTRY TOOLS

#### DEBRISK: certification tool

- Provided to the aerospace companies
- Based on an object-oriented approach
- New version planned for 2019



Computation time



#### <u>Accuracy</u>



#### PAMPERO: research code

- Based on a spacecraft-oriented approach
- Six degrees-of-freedom flight dynamics
- Aerodynamics and aerothermodynamics analysis
- > Heat transfers modeling through a 3D thermal conduction module
- Mechanical stress analysis from the aerodynamic and thermal loads
- Estimate of the destruction phenomena: ablation & fragmentation





- 1. NEW MESH GENERATION AND READING
- 2. FLIGHT DYNAMICS
- 3. AEROTHERMODYNAMICS
- 4. HEAT TRANSFERS MODELLING & ABLATION
  - 5. MECHANICAL STRESS ANALYSIS
  - 6. FRAGMENTATION





- **1.** New mesh generation and reading
- 2. FLIGHT DYNAMICS
- 3. AEROTHERMODYNAMICS
- 4. HEAT TRANSFERS MODELLING & ABLATION
  - 5. MECHANICAL STRESS ANALYSIS
  - 6. FRAGMENTATION

#### **New Mesh Generation & Reading**

# Since 2013, tetrahedral mesh strategy chosen

- Nevertheless, this strategy is not the most relevant
- Most objects are relatively thin
- Consequently, either the mesh size is too important or mesh quality is not satisfactory





# **New Mesh Generation & Reading**

- Since 2013, tetrahedral mesh strategy chosen
  - Nevertheless, this strategy is not the most relevant
  - Most objects are relatively thin
  - Consequently, either the mesh size is too important or mesh quality is not satisfactory
- Since 2018, extension of meshes reading by PAMPERO



#### **New Mesh Generation & Reading**

- Since 2013, tetrahedral mesh strategy chosen
  - Nevertheless, this strategy is not the most relevant
  - Most objects are relatively thin
  - Consequently, either the mesh size is too important or mesh quality is not satisfactory
- Since 2018, extension of meshes reading by PAMPERO
- Possibility to extrude a 2D mesh to a 3D mesh









- 1. NEW MESH GENERATION AND READING
- **2.** FLIGHT DYNAMICS
- 3. AEROTHERMODYNAMICS
- 4. HEAT TRANSFERS MODELLING & ABLATION
  - 5. MECHANICAL STRESS ANALYSIS
  - 6. FRAGMENTATION

# **FLIGHT DYNAMICS**



 $\Delta t = 0,001 \, s$ 

Altitude: 78000m

Qconv: 0.0W/m<sup>2</sup>

RotSpeed: 30.00dea/s

Kn: 0.016486

Mach: 26.49

- Validation on aerodynamics coefficients and moments is relatively easy
  - Important number of benchmarks in the literature
- To our knowledge, not enough validation on the flight dynamics
  - Difficulty finding representative test-cases
  - First, need to make code cross-checks
- Tank test-case •
  - Our models need to be improved •
- Dumping effects issues are important •
  - Work in progress

 $\Delta t = 0.1 s$ 



Time: 0.00s No initial rotation Time: 0.00s Altitude: 78000m Altitude: 78000m Kn: 0.016486 Kn: 0.016486 Mach: 26.49 Mach: 26.49 Qconv: 0.0W/m<sup>2</sup> Qconv: 0.0W/m<sup>2</sup> RotSpeed: 0.00dea/s

10°/s initial rotation Time: 0.00s RotSpeed: 10.00dea/s

30°/s initial rotation







- 1. NEW MESH GENERATION AND READING
- 2. FLIGHT DYNAMICS
- **3. AEROTHERMODYNAMICS**
- 4. HEAT TRANSFERS MODELLING & ABLATION
  - 5. MECHANICAL STRESS ANALYSIS
  - 6. FRAGMENTATION



X [m]

X [m]

12 © cnes

4<sup>TH</sup> INTERNATIONAL WORKSHOP ON SPACE DEBRIS RE-ENTRY, DARMSTADT, 1<sup>ST</sup> MARCH 2018

#### **AEROTHERMODYNAMICS**

- State of the art for the Spacecraft-oriented \* tools
  - Pressure: formulas  $\geq$ Newton assumption
  - Heat fluxes: blunt body experiments or CFD

#### Set up a collaboration with CNES, HTG, • **RTECH, ONERA**

- Comparison on different Spacecraft-oriented tools
- Comparison with high-fidelity codes
- Perform computations on test-cases, focusing  $\geq$ on complex geometries and flow regions

#### Identification of geometries/situations where the modified Newton law is not applicable

- Aerothermodynamics improvement in the continuum regime in progress
  - Flat faces
  - Concave surfaces
  - Trailing edges
  - Elliptic flows
  - Shock-shock interactions
  - Friction coefficients



14 O cnes

- Example 1: elliptic flows over sphere-cone like geometries
- More the subsonic region is important, more the elliptical effects are intense
- Strategy to obtain new correlations
  - Important campaign of CFD computations
  - Reduction of the problem
    - Applicable to all dimensions
    - Applicable to all configurations ( $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$  ...)
    - Applicable to all flow conditions

Example 2: concave sphere

Concave surface recognition algorithm in progress

Same strategy to obtain new correlations

Correlations applied according to the region (concave versus convex)





**\*** Usual strategy for calculating the parietal heat flux

$$Q_{conv} = Q_{conv,stag} f(r, P)$$





**\*** Usual strategy for calculating the parietal heat flux

$$Q_{conv} = Q_{conv,stag} f(r(\widehat{P}) \rightarrow a ready improved)$$



Usual strategy for calculating the parietal heat flux

$$Q_{conv} = Q_{conv,stag} f(r, P)$$

#### First way of improvement: the curvature radius

> Not calculate a mathematical curvature radius, but based on the physics involved





19) © cnes

Usual strategy for calculating the parietal heat flux

$$Q_{conv} = Q_{conv,stag} f(\mathbf{r}, P)$$

Second way of improvement: no dependence of the curvature radius (e.g. planar surface)

Important campaign of CFD computations







- 1. NEW MESH GENERATION AND READING
- 2. FLIGHT DYNAMICS
- 3. AEROTHERMODYNAMICS
- 4. HEAT TRANSFERS MODELLING & ABLATION
  - 5. MECHANICAL STRESS ANALYSIS
  - 6. FRAGMENTATION



#### **HEAT TRANSFERS MODELLING & ABLATION**

#### Heat transfers modelling validation

- For simple objects via comparisons with analytical results and cross-check with Openfoam
- For a complex satellite with Openfoam (in progress)
- Taking into account multi-material objects is now possible. Validation planned in 2018.





Collaboration with



#### **HEAT TRANSFERS MODELLING & ABLATION**

#### Ablation validation

> For simple objects via comparison with analytical results











- 1. NEW MESH GENERATION AND READING
- 2. FLIGHT DYNAMICS
- 3. AEROTHERMODYNAMICS
- 4. HEAT TRANSFERS MODELLING & ABLATION
  - **5. MECHANICAL STRESS ANALYSIS**
  - 6. FRAGMENTATION



#### MECHANICAL STRESS ANALYSIS



- Trade-off to take into account the mechanics •••
  - Coupling with an existing mechanical code  $\geq$
  - Selection of Code\_Aster from EDF
- Assumptions \*
  - Rigid body motion (PAMPERO)
  - Quasi-static local displacements
    - Linear-elastic behavior assumption (small deformations)
    - Negligible impact of the deformation on aerodynamic and inertial forces computation
- Validity of the mechanical stress computations is ensure ••• by Code\_ASTER own validation
- Verification is focused on the coupling \*









Analytical verification











- 1. NEW MESH GENERATION AND READING
- 2. FLIGHT DYNAMICS
- 3. AEROTHERMODYNAMICS
- 4. HEAT TRANSFERS MODELLING & ABLATION
  - 5. MECHANICAL STRESS ANALYSIS
  - **6. F**RAGMENTATION



#### FRAGMENTATION

- Possibility to demise cells through thermo mechanical criteria
  - Melting temperature and fusion enthalpy
  - Maximal pressure
- We consider the fragmentation when several pieces are no longer connected
- The fragments (mesh and configuration file) are saved and calculated separately
- Validation planned in 2018





# **CONCLUSIONS**

- **PAMPERO** is currently in an important phase of improvement and validation
- **•** Our short-team goal is to perform complete computations on whole satellites along trajectories







Thank you to all the CNES team

#### Thank you to all our partners



Vincent Rivola Martin Spel Christophe Vasse



Ysolde Prévereaud Jean-Luc Vérant Fernando de la Puerte Cerezo



Javier Carro Morgane Jouisse Baptiste Crusson

Special thanks to Vincent Rivola for your help and all the movies/pictures generated for this presentation

28 © cnes